



UNITED STATES. JPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR **FILING DATE** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. G 08/962,315 10/31/97 HOUSE 067183-0157 **EXAMINER** TM02/0716 FOLEY & LARDNER AN, S WASHINGTON HARBOUR **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 3000 K STREET NW SUITE 500 P O BOX 25696 2613 WASHINGTON DC 20007-8696

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

07/16/01

PTO-90C (Rev.11/00)

472 W. C. (Hor) 7723

. Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/962,315

Applican

1_

Gregory House

Examiner

Shawn An

Art Unit 2613

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	
	for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
	isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic		may a reply be timely filed
- If the	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely.		n of thirty (30) days will
- If NO	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication.	period will apply and will expire SIX (6	6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
- Failur - Any i	re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	y statute, cause the application to bec e mailing date of this communication,	ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 23, 2	2001	•
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	tion is non-final.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) 2, 3, and 5-10	is/are	pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ard	e withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 2, 3, and 5-10		is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers		
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.	
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved	b) ☐ disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d).
a) 🗆	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:		
•	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have		
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure se the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Stage
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		e).
Attachm 15\ ₩ No	ent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No/e)
	rtice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:	· - ,

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. As per Applicant's instruction in Paper 16 as filed on 4/23/01, claims 2-3 and 5-10 have been amended.

Response to Remarks

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims 2-3 and 5-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-3 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Auty et al (5,809,161) in view of Subbarao (5,193,124).

Auty et al disclose a three-dimensional structure estimation apparatus which measures a distance to an object, comprising: a plurality of cameras (6 and 8) for producing images of the object from different angles (Fig. 3) and having different resolution from each other (Col. 4, lines 48-52) and conversion means (Fig. 17) for converting the images into converted images whose pixel units are equal in the amount of object represented thereby (Col. 20, lines 29-67 and Col. 21, lines 1-63) as specified in claims 2-3 and 5-10. Auty et al further disclose well known concept of computing a distance to the object (Col. 7, lines 1-39 and Col. 21, lines 59-63).

٠

Application/Control Number: 08/962,315

Art Unit: 2613

However, Auty et al does not specifically disclose a depth image production section for comparing the converted images using stereo imaging to calculate a distance to the object. Subbarao discloses a depth image production section (Fig. 4) for comparing the converted images using stereo imaging (Camera 1 and Camera 2) to calculate a distance to the object (Output). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing a three-dimensional structure estimation apparatus as taught by Auty et al to incorporate the depth image production section as taught by Subbarao for comparing the converted images using stereo imaging to calculate the distance to the object.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn An whose telephone number is (703) 305-0099.

July 12, 2001

l Illey

Page 3

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600